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Overview

- CIHR – general points
- Special competitions vs. open grants
- Choosing a committee
- Priority announcements
- Agencies other than CIHR
- Progress pages
- Response to reviews
- Renewals & rolling of grants
- External reviewers
- Main proposal: miscellaneous suggestions
- U of C internal peer review
The grant resubmission game:

Strategies that might help you tap in the rebound
Strategic considerations relating to your grant budgets

Strategies that might help your applications (and/or avoid hurting your applications)
Successful knowledge translation for grant applications
Overview

• The big picture (impactful knowledge?)
• The ‘KT section’
• Demonstrating partnerships
• KT science/theory
• KT in your budget and timeline
• The KT context
Reflecting on the big picture

• Impactful knowledge?
• (vs. simple curiosity?)
• Your research enterprise
• Deciding:
  – Go for a grant?
  – (vs. just do it and produce a paper)
• Potential to score a 4.9?
The KT section?
The KT section?
The KT section?

It's not just a section....
The KT ‘fabric’

• Weaved through the grant
• Partnerships apparent
• Bidirectional dialogue
• An institutional context
• A societal issue or challenge calling for knowledge
• A multi-faceted dissemination strategy
• And yes...
The KT section
Partnerships

- Establish them
- The IPH is here to help
- Consider a formal steering group
- Letters of support
- Decision-maker co-applicants
- Avoid being too local
- Think nationally
- Or globally
KT reflected in budget and timeline

- KT is a priority
- Work not done until KT complete
- Think of Knowledge Dissemination Grants
- Or applications to the KT committee (Open Grants)
Institutional Context

• Describe your base
• The framework
• The opportunities
• The resources
• The relationships
• The communications team
• The capacity to host forum events
EYES HIGH
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